Α	В	C	D	E	F	G	Н	I	J	К	L	М	N	0	Р	Q	
FY 15 Performa	nce Fund	ing Mode	el														
PAGE 1									Perform	ance \$\$ =	\$3,750,000	1					
							Residual \$\$ =										
COMPLETIONS (undergraduate, CAS thru Bachelor's, unduplicated,					icated, source: DW)				Per Completion \$\$=								
Definition: The annu	-		-			ded.			· • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		+-)],			, ,		
Includes 1-year certificate	es (CAS) through	bachelor's degre	ees. Unduplicat	ed counts	within academi	c years.					ELIGIBLE AMT						
							Progress Progress		(2011-2013)		Performance	Initial	Progress To	То	From		
	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	cov	3-YR AVG	2012-13	Factor	Indicator	#	%	Funding	Amount	#	Residual	Residual	Total Amount	
CAMPUS	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	00	J-TR AVO	2012-13			#	70					(residual dist.)		
MSU Bozeman	1,777	1,754	1 735	1.2%	1,755	1,846	0.55	YES	8,422	29%	\$1,075,337	\$1,075,337	91	\$0	\$105,239	\$1,180,576	
Gallatin College	_,	22	49		36	_,c : c 66	9.16	YES	247	1%		\$31,570	31	\$0	\$31,570		
MSU Billings	518	508		3.9%	502	526	0.51	YES	3,040	10%		\$388,097	24	\$0	\$27,857	\$415,954	
City College	219	236	317	20.3%	257	283	1.06	YES	928	3%		\$118,541	26	\$0	\$29,792	\$148,333	
MSU Northern	205	248	242	10.1%	232	240	0.38	YES	1,007	3%	\$128,565	\$128,565	8	\$0	\$9,673	\$138,238	
Great Falls College	283	279	330	9.5%	297	322	0.88	YES	1,392	5%	\$177,737	\$177,737	25	\$0	\$28,631	\$206,368	
UM Missoula	1,698	1,779	1,718	2.4%	1,732	1,736	0.03	YES	8,478	29%	\$1,082,471	\$1,082,471	4	\$0	\$5,030	\$1,087,500	
Missoula College	339	366	383	6.1%	363	418	1.63	YES	1,656	6%	\$211,486	\$211,486	55	\$0	\$64,226	\$275,712	
MT Tech	246	339	310	16.0%	298	340	1.49	YES	1,637	6%	\$209,031	\$209,031	42	\$0	\$48,363	\$257,394	
Highlands College	91	98	92	4.0%	94	108	1.63	YES	365	1%		\$46,646	14	\$0	\$16,637	\$63,282	
UM Western	184	196		16.7%	210	236	1.32	YES	1,083	4%		\$138,213	26	\$0	\$30,179	\$168,391	
Helena College	188	193		12.8%	206	209	0.17	YES	1,115	4%	\$142,307	\$142,307	3	\$0	\$3,869	\$146,176	
		System-wide	COV Avg.	9.4%	ļ			·									
Total			Î			Î Î		29,371	100%	\$3,750,000	\$3,750,000		\$0	\$401,065	\$4,151,065		
	The "stop-los indicator of h might vary na							For et a m	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		Initial amounts	Numerical	Difference	Redistribution of	T Initial amount	
							Progress Factor = (G - F) / system-		Distribution of 3-year average		The amount of perf.	are based on	progress	between	residual based	plus residual	
							wide avg COV *F		resident student		funding each	Progress	made by a	Eligible Amt	on numerical	distribution	
	system-wide coefficient o		SLZ is determined by a de average of the t of variation (COV) for c over three previous each campus.			Progress Indicator YES > 0 N0* < 0, but > -1 NO		FTE, identical to method used for allocation of the entire state		campus is eligible to receive is based on the	Indicator. If in	SLZ (NO*), gress Factor used to etermine % over their 3yr average. Campuses not making	and Initial Amt; \$\$ from campuses that did not make progress	progress multiplied by the residual per additional completion for those making	(M + P) = total for Completions Metric		
											Progress Factor						
											determine %						
						< -1		appropriation		systemwide reductio	reduction.	1. 0		progress.			
						a					3-yr avg		are listed as N/A.				
						Campuses th in the metric a		e than averag (stem in a give									
						in the metric of		ids for that me									

•	В	6	D	F	-	6				K		D.4	N	0	Р		P
A FY 15 Performa	B Bance Fund	c C	l D	E	F	G	Н		J	Ň	L	M	N	0	P	Q	R
FY 15 Performance Funding Model																	
PAGE 2									Perform	nance \$\$ =	\$3,750,000			System-wide	initiatives =	\$53,621	
									Re	sidual \$\$ =				, Max Residual		2	
RETENTION (system-wide retention rate, institutional rate + transfer rate in MUS)							Per Percent \$\$=			\$13,031			No campus may receive more than double its eligible				
Definition: % of 1st-time, full-time freshmen returning for a second year of enrollment in the MUS												•		amt due to reallocation of residual. Excess residual			
includes students returning to the same institution they entered + those returning to any other MUS campus											ELIGIBLE AMT			↑			
							Progress	Progress	(2011	-2013)	Performance	Initial	Progress	То	From	Tabala	GRAND
	F09 to F10	F10 to F11	F11 to F12	STDEV	3-YR AVG	F12 to F13	Factor	Indicator	#	%	Funding	Amount	#	Residual	Residual	Total Amount	TOTAL
CAMPUS			1.11.00.11	10.220	•					,,,					(residual dist.)		
MSU Bozeman	77.6%	77.4%	77.5%	0.1%	77.5%	79.2%	0.77	YES	8,422	29%	\$1,075,337	\$1,075,337	1.67	\$0	\$21,815	\$1,097,152	\$2,277,728
Gallatin College		60.9%	74.3%		67.6%	50.0%	-8.13	NO	247	1%	\$31,570	\$0	N/A	\$31,570	\$0		\$63,140
MSU Billings	61.2%	63.2%	63.5%	1.2%	62.6%	70.4%	3.59	YES	3,040		\$388,097	\$388,097	7.77	\$0	\$101,222		\$905,273
City College	55.8%	50.7%	52.5%	2.6%	53.0%	53.8%	0.36	YES	928		\$118,541	\$118,541	0.78	\$0	\$10,131		\$277,005
MSU Northern	63.1%	63.8%	57.1%	3.7%	61.3%	68.2%	3.16	YES	1,007	3%	\$128,565	\$128,565	6.82	\$0	\$88,908	\$217,473	\$355,711
Great Falls College	54.4%	56.9%	61.5%	3.6%	57.6%	59.9%	1.06	YES	1,392	5%	\$177,737	\$177,737	2.30	\$0	\$29,926	\$207,663	\$414,031
UM Missoula	77.1%	78.8%	78.3%	0.9%	78.1%	77.1%	-0.45	NO*	8,478	29%	\$1,082,471	\$595,382	N/A	\$487,089	\$0	\$595,382	\$1,682,882
Missoula College	55.7%	55.3%	55.3%	0.2%	55.4%	58.4%	1.38	YES	1,656	6%	\$211,486	\$211,486	2.99	\$0	\$38,897	\$250,383	\$526,095
MT Tech	76.0%	78.1%	77.6%	1.1%	77.2%	75.7%	-0.71	NO*	1,637	6%	\$209,031	\$60,202	N/A	\$148,829	\$0	\$60,202	\$317,596
Highlands College	44.6%	55.2%	55.3%	6.2%	51.7%	59.1%	3.42	YES	365	1%	\$46,646	\$46,646	7.40	\$0	\$46,646	\$93,291	\$156,574
UM Western	72.0%	72.1%	74.2%	1.2%	72.8%	74.1%	0.62	YES	1,083	4%	\$138,213	\$138,213	1.35	\$0	\$17,564	\$155,777	\$324,168
Helena College	64.5%	64.4%	59.2%	3.0%	62.7%	59.1%	-1.64	NO	1,115	4%	\$142,307	\$0	N/A	\$142,307	\$0	\$0	\$146,176
	Average STDEV			2.2%													
Total				1			1	1	29,371	100%	\$3,750,000	\$2,940,205		\$809,795	\$355,109	\$3,295,314	\$7,446,379
						1			<u> </u>	<u> </u>	↑	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	1	<u> </u>	1	
				ne "stop-loss zone" (SLZ) is an dicator of how much a metric			Progress Factor = (G - F) / system-		Distribution of		The amount	Initial amounts are based on	Numerical progress	Difference between	Redistribution of residual	Initial amount plus residual	
				might vary naturally in a given			wide avg STDEV		3-year average resident student		of perf. funding each	Progress	made by a	Eligible Amt	based on	distribution	
				he SLZ is determined by a					FTE, identical to		campus is	Indicator. If in	campus over their	and Initial	numerical	(M + P) = total	
	-			vide average of the					method used for		eligible to	SLZ (NO*),	3vr	Amt; \$\$ from	progress	for Retention	
	standar			ard deviation for the			Progress Indicator		allocation of the		receive is	Progress Factor used to	average.	campuses that did not make	multiplied by the residual	Metric	
				tric over three previous years			YES > 0			e state	based on the	determine %	Campuses not making	progress	per additional		
	for each			r each campus.			N0* < 0, but > -1 NO		approp	oriation	systemwide	reduction.	progress		percent for		
											distribution of		are listed		those making		
							at drop more than average STDEV (i.e. avg change across the system in a given year) do not receive				3-yr avg resident FTE.		as N/A.		progress		
						in the metric	-	-		not receive							
any funds for that metric.											L		1		1		